

In the High Court of Fiji
At Suva
Civil Jurisdiction

Civil Action No. HBC 50 of 2022

Rohitesh Shalendra Roy

Plaintiff

v

Challenge Engineering PTE Limited

Defendant

Ruling

1. On 29th April, 2022, I delivered Ruling in this matter.
2. In the concluding paragraphs 19 and 20 of my Ruling, I stated as follows:

In my view, the appropriate course of action is to discharge the interim orders granted on 4th February, 2022.

I make order that the interim orders granted are to be discharged one month from the date of this decision, in order to give the plaintiff time to relocate its shop.

3. In my Final Orders, I stated that the “*interim orders granted on 4th February, 2022, stand discharged on 29th May, 2002*” .(emphasis added)
4. The typo as to the year 2002 is clearly evident. Such a mistake can be corrected by Court.

5. The ‘*slip rule*’ power as contained in Or 20 r 10, enables the Court on its own motion, to correct “ *Clerical mistakes in judgments or orders, or errors arising therein from any accidental slip or omission, may at any time be corrected by the Court on motion or summons without an appeal*”.
6. Accordingly, paragraph 21 of my final judgment as corrected, will read as follows:
- i. The interim orders granted on 4th February,2022, stand discharged on 29th May,2022.
 - ii. Costs in the cause.

A.L.B. Brito-Mutunayagam

A.L.B. Brito-Mutunayagam
JUDGE
19th May , 2022

