# IN THE HIGH COURT OF FIJI AT SUVA CRIMINAL JURISDICTION CRIMINAL CASE NO. HAC 300 OF 2011S

### STATE

vs

#### **RODNEY AUGUSTINE FONG**

Counsels	:	Ms. M. Fong and Ms. S. Navia for State	
		Mr. D. Sharma and Ms. N. Choo for Accused	
Hearings	:	12 <sup>th</sup> , 13 <sup>th</sup> , 14 <sup>th</sup> , 15 <sup>th</sup> , 16 <sup>th</sup> and 19 <sup>th</sup> August, 2013	
Summing Up	:	20 <sup>th</sup> August, 2013	
Judgment	:	20 <sup>th</sup> August, 2013	

#### JUDGMENT

- 1. The three assessors have unanimously reached a verdict of Not Guilty as charged for the accused, on all three Counts.
- 2. Obviously, the three assessors have not accepted the prosecution's version of events. It appeared they have found that the prosecution had not proven its case against the accused beyond reasonable doubt and they have found him not guilty as charged on all Counts.
- 3. I have reviewed the evidence called in this trial.
- 4. I have also directed myself in accordance with the summing up I gave the assessors today.
- 5. In my view, the three assessors' verdict was not perverse. It was open to them to reach such conclusion on the evidence. I agree with the three assessors. I accept their decision. I find the prosecution has not proven its case against the accused beyond a reasonable doubt and I find the accused Not Guilty as charged on Count no. 1, 2 and 3.
- 6. I therefore acquit the accused on all Counts. The accused is free to go home.

- 7. Assessors thanked and released.
- 8. Accused's passport to be released forthwith.

## Salesi Temo <u>JUDGE</u>

Solicitor for the State	:	Office of the Director of Public Prosecution, Suva
Solicitor for the Accused	:	R. Patel Lawyers, Suva