IN THE COURT OF APPEAL, FIJI

[On Appeal from the High Court]

CIVIL APPEAL NO. ABU 0036 of 2019 [High Court at Suva Case No. HBM 122 of 2018]

<u>BETWEEN</u> : <u>JOSUA NATAKURU</u>

<u>Appellant</u>

<u>AND</u>: <u>THE ATTORNEY GENERAL</u>

Respondent

Coram : Prematilaka, RJA

Counsel : Appellant absent and unrepresented

Respondent absent and unrepresented

Date of Mention: 09 August 2022

Date of Ruling: 12 August 2022

RULING OF THE COURT

- [1] The appellant appealed against the High Court judgment dated 18 April 2019 dismissing an application for constitutional redress.
- [2] The appellant had been a serving prisoner at least for some time, if not in full, during the time he has pursued his application in the High Court and the Court of Appeal.
- [3] His appeal has been considered a timely one and he has been exempted from paying security for cost. The Chief Registrar on 11 June 2019 had directed the Court of Appeal Registry to prepare appeal records for full court hearing, expending public funds.

[4] In the meantime the appellant had been released from prison and he had visited the Court of Appeal Registry in person on 01 June 2022 and what transpired on that day had been recorded in the case file by a court clerk as follows:

'Appellant came to the Registry on 01/06/22 at 9.15am. He was aggressive, violent and verbally abusive, extremely loud and threatening. He apparently just got out of jail. He's been informed of the adjournment to 03/06/2022 at 9.30am. Details of the Appellant has been updated on every file.'

[5] The appellant was absent and unrepresented in this court on 03 June 2022 (he has signed the acknowledgement of service of notice of mention on 01 June 2022 which is available in the file) as was on the previous day and the court *inter alia* directed the Registry to notice the appellant of the next date *i.e.* 09 August 2022 to appear in person or be represented by counsel. The Registry had complied with the court's directive fully on 06 June 2022 and the court clerk had recorded the conversation with the appellant as follows:

'I spoke with Mr. Natakuru today (06/06/22) at 3.30pm. He called on 7488365 to get an update on his civil matters. He's been informed that he's civil matters are to be called on 09/08/22. I have made it clear to Mr. Natakuru that he needs to appear on the day and if he fails to appear – all appeals will be dismissed. He is fully aware of the next date and the consequences of failing to appear.'

- The appellant was once again absent and unrepresented on 09 August 2022. Despite having had ample notice of 03 June 2022 and 09 August 2022 he was absent and unrepresented. He clearly has no intention of prosecuting the appeal. This court has already spent a considerable judicial time on this appeal and so has the Registry. The Court of Appeal said in **Registrar of Titles v Prasad** [2001] FJCA 5; Abu0009D.2001s (8 June 2001) that the new Rules send a clear message to all prospective appellants it is the appellant's duty to file appeals, and to take all steps to push the appeal to a hearing.
- [7] In the circumstances, I make the following order dismissing the appeal in terms of section 20(1)(g) of the Court of Appeal Act.

Order

1. Appeal dismissed in terms of section 20(1)(g) of the Court of Appeal Act.



Hon. Mr Justice C. Prematilaka RESIDENT JUSTICE OF APPEAL