IN THE COURT OF APPEAL ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO: AAU 39 of 2010 (High Court HAC 43 of 2008)

BETWEEN

THE STATE

<u>Appellant</u>

AND

JOSEPH MARTIN SHAYAM

Respondent

Coram

Calanchini P

Kumararatnam JA

Counsel

Mr M Korovou for the Appellant

No appearance for the Respondent

Date of Hearing

10 September 2014

Date of Judgment

13 October 2014

JUDGMENT

Calanchini P

[1] On 20 May 2010 the Respondent was sentenced to a term of 18 months imprisonment suspended for 1 year following conviction for the offence of acting with intent to cause grievous bodily harm. However, following the trial, on the count of murder the

assessors had returned a unanimous opinion of not guilty. The learned trial Judge agreed with that opinion and entered a verdict of not guilty in respect of that offence.

[2] On 18 June 2010 the Appellant sought leave to appeal the decision of the learned trial

Judge to enter a verdict of not guilty on the charge of murder.

[3] By letter dated 17 June 2014 the Appellant sought leave to abandon the appeal against

the acquittal of the Respondent. Rule 39 of the Court of Appeal Rules (the Rules)

provides that an appellant may abandon an appeal by giving a written notice to the

Registrar.

The Court is duly constituted by two judges pursuant to section 6(2) of the Court of

Appeal Act Cap 12 for the purpose of determining the application.

[4] Counsel for the Appellant informed the Court that the decision to abandon the appeal

was made freely and voluntarily. It was an informed decision made with the

understanding that the application to abandon the appeal will lead to the dismissal of

the appeal.

[5] The Appellant is granted leave to abandon the appeal. The appeal is dismissed.

Kumararatnam JA

[6] I agree that the appeal should be dismissed.

Order:

Appeal dismissed.

HON. MR JUSTICE CALANCHINI PRESIDENT, COURT OF APPEAL

HON. MR JUSTICE KUMARARATNAM

JUSTICE OF APPEAL